# SYNTHESIS OF TRIFLUOROMETHYL AMINES,  $CF_{3}N(X)H$ , FROM  $CF_{3}N(X)C(0)F$ .

AKIRA SEKIYA and DARRYL D. DESMARTEAU [l]

Department of Chemistry, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas 66506, USA.

#### Summary

A new general synthesis of trifluoromethyl amines of the type,  $CF_3N(X)H$ , is reported. The amines are prepared in excellent yield by the hydrolysis  $CF_2N(X)C(0)F$  in the presence of NaF. The N-agyl fluorides are now available in considerable variety from the 'reaction of nucleophiles with the oxaziridine CF<sub>3</sub>NCF<sub>3</sub>0. Six new amines have been prepared and characterized  $[(X=CF_30,$  $(\text{CF}_3)$ <sub>2</sub>CFO, CH<sub>3</sub>0, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>0, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CHO and (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO)] and improved syntheses of  $CF_3NHF$  and  $CF_3NHOC(O)CH_3$  are reported. The new compounds are thermally stable at 22° and are characterized by IR, NMR and physical properties.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The fluorinated amines  $(\text{CF}_3)_{2}$ NH<sup> $\left[2,3\right]$ </sup> and  $F_2$ NH<sup> $\left[4,5\right]$ </sup> are well known and provide good examples of the inductive effect of fluorine and trifluoromethyl which render these compounds somewhat acidic. The compounds are commonly prepared by rather disparate methods.

 $CF_3NCF_2$  + HF +  $(CF_3)_2NH$  $N_2F_4$  + 2 $\phi$ SH → ( $\phi$ S)<sub>2</sub> + 2HNF<sub>2</sub>

Bis(trifluoromethyl)amine is unstable in  $H_2$ 0 and HNF<sub>2</sub> can be prepared in aqueous systems. The mixed trifluoromethylfluoroamine,  $CF_3$ NHF, is known but its synthesis is difficult and very little is known about the compound.  $[6]$  Othe: related compounds where one of the fluorines in HNF<sub>2</sub> or one of the CF<sub>3</sub> groups in  $(CF_3)_{2}$ NH is substituted by a simple alkyl, alkoxy or other groups are very rare.

Recently in the study of the reaction chemistry of the perfluorooxaziridine,  $CF_3\overline{NCF_3O}$ , with nucleophiles, a variety of compounds of the type  $CF_3N(X)C(0)$ F were obtained  $[7-9]$ .

$$
CF_3\overline{NCF_2O} + X^- \rightarrow CF_3N(X)C(O)F + F^-
$$

When the nucleophile was  $CH_3CO_2$  from  $CH_3CO_2H$ , a significant amount of  $CF_3N(H)OC(O)CH_3$  was observed along with the expected acyl fluoride. This product was believed to form by the following reactions.

$$
CF_3N[OC(O)CH_3]C(O)F + CH_3CO_2H \rightarrow CF_3N[OC(O)CH_3]C(O)OH + CH_3C(O)F
$$
  
\n $CF_3N(H)OC(O)CH_3 + CO_2$ 

This suggested that the simple hydrolysis of  $CF_{3}N(X)C(0)F$  might lead to CF<sub>3</sub>N(X)H. This paper reports the synthesis of eight CF<sub>3</sub>N(X)H derivatives by this method.

### EXPERIMENTAL

#### General

All volatile compounds were handled in glass and stainless steel vacuum systems as previously described[lO] Characterization of new compounds was carried out in the usual way.

All reagents other than  $CF_3\overline{NCF_2}$  were obtained from commercial sources. Solids were dried under vacuum and liquids were distilled before using.  $CF_3NCF_2^0$  was prepared by the literature method<sup>9</sup>,10 and  $CF_3N(X)C(0)$ F were prepared from the oxaziridine as previously described.<sup>7</sup>,8,9

# Reactions of  $CF_{3}N(X)C(0)F$  with  $H_{2}0$

Reactions were carried out in  $6$  ml Kel-F reactors fitted with a stainless steel valve. In a typical reaction, dried NaF (0.2g) was placed in the reactor and pumped under vacuum. Dry air was then admitted and a weighed amount of  $H_2^0$  was added onto the NaF. The vessel was then cooled to -193°, evacuated and the  $CF_{3}N(X)C(0)$ F was added by vacuum transfer. The mixture was then allowed to warm from  $-111^{\circ}$  to 22 $^{\circ}$  in a CFC1<sub>3</sub> bath over 16 hrs. The products were then separated by pumping through traps at -111 and  $-193^\circ$ . The  $-193^\circ$  trap contained the  $CO_2$ . The contents of the  $-111^\circ$ trap were then separated by glc on 10' x 3/8" column packed with 40% halocarbon 11-21 oil on Chromosorb P. In the case of  $CF_3NFC(0)F$ , the products were separated directly by glc.

The reactions are summarized in Table 1. Characterization of the compounds follows.

# Table 1

# Hydrolysis of  $CF_{3}N(X)C(0)F^{a}$



a.  $-111$  to  $22^\circ$ , 16 hr with 5 mmol NaF

b. amounts in mm01

c. % based on  $CF_{3}N(X)C(0)F$ 

d. 22" for 20 hr.

CF<sub>3</sub>NHF: m.p. -103 to -101°; mol.wt. 101.0, calcd. 103.02; IR 3310(m), 1422(s), 1317(s), 1216(vs), 1030(s), 948R, 941Q(s), 933P, 858(m), 675(w), 610(w), 455(vw),  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; NMR  $\text{CF}_3^{\text{A}}\text{NH}^{\text{B}}\text{F}^{\text{C}}$ ,  $\phi*_{\text{A}}$  74.2, d-d;  $\delta_R$  7.88, br-d;  $\phi*_{\text{C}}$  127.6, d-q;  $J_{AB} = 9.5$ ,  $J_{BC} = 51.0$ ,  $J_{AC} = 26.7$  Hz.

 $CF_{3}N(H)OCF_{3}: m.p. -100$  to -99°; mol. wt. 169.1, calcd. 169.03; IR 3356(w),  $1462(m), 1324(s), 1288(s), 1230(vs), 1181(vs), 1967(w), 924(m), 857(m),$ 663(m), 623(w), 464(m), cm<sup>-1</sup>; NMR  $CF_3^A N(H^B) OCF_3^C$ ,  $\phi^*$ <sub>A</sub> 71.5, d-q; 6<sub>B</sub> 6.64, br.;  $\phi^*_{C}$  67.7, q; J<sub>AB</sub>=8.3, J<sub>BC</sub>~0, J<sub>AC</sub>=2.8Hz.

 $CF_{3}N(H)OCF(CF_{3})_{2}$ : m.p. < -125°; mol. wt. 270.1, calcd. 269.04; IR 3340(w), 1457(m), 1440(sh), 1330(m), 1314(vs), 1250(vs), 1228(s), 1208(w), 1164(m),  $1120(s)$ ,  $1027(s)$ ,  $960(m)$ ,  $920(m)$ ,  $849(m)$ ,  $736(m)$ ,  $720(w)$ ,  $660(m)$ ,  $620(w)$ , 583(w), 550(w), 463(m),  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; NMR  $\text{CF}_3^{\text{A}}N(\text{H}^B)\text{OCF}^{\text{C}}(\text{CF}_3^{\text{D}})$ <sub>2</sub>,  $\phi^*$ <sub>A</sub> 71.4, d-d;  $\delta_{\rm R}$  6.70, br-q;  $\phi$ \*<sub>C</sub> 140.5, m;  $\phi$ \*<sub>D</sub> 78.1, m; J<sub>AB</sub>=8.2, J<sub>AC</sub>=5.9, J<sub>AD</sub>=0.8,  $J_{BD} = 0.4$ ,  $J_{CD} = 2.3$ Hz.

 $CF_3N(H)OCH_3:$  m.p. -88 to -85°; mol. wt. 116.5, calcd. 115.06; IR 3340(w), 2978(m), 2920(w), 2835(vw), 1446(m), 1307(s), 1212(vs), 1182(s), 1086(m), 947(w), 910(m), 826(w), 668(m), 622(w), 580(w), 480(vw), 462(m) cm<sup>-1</sup>; NHR CF<sub>3</sub><sup>A</sup>N(H<sup>B</sup>)OCH<sub>3</sub><sup>C</sup>,  $\phi *_{A}$  71.3, d;  $\delta_{B}$  6.32, br;  $\delta_{C}$  3.91, s;  $J_{AR}$ =0.6Hz.

CF<sub>3</sub>N(H)OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>: m.p. -60.0 to -58.5°; mol. wt. 128.0, calcd. 129.08°; IR  $3340(w)$ ,  $2999(w)$ ,  $2950(w)$ ,  $2908(ww)$ ,  $1439(s)$ ,  $1397(w)$ ,  $1305(s)$ ,  $1210(ws)$ , 1186(s), 1128(m), 1096(vw), 106S(m), 1028(s), 913(m), 834(w), 667(m), 620(m), 462(m) cm<sup>-1</sup>; NMR CF<sub>3</sub><sup>A</sup>N(H<sup>B</sup>)OCH<sub>3</sub><sup>C</sup>CH<sub>3</sub><sup>D</sup>,  $\phi*$ <sub>A</sub> 70.9, d;  $\delta_B$  6.16, q;  $\delta_C$  4.14, q;  $\delta_{\rm p}$  1.46, t; J<sub>AB</sub>=9.6, J<sub>CD</sub>=7.0 Hz.

CF<sub>3</sub>N(H)OCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>: m.p. -124 to -122°; mol. wt. 144.3, calcd. 143.11; IR 3330(w), 2990(m), 2950(w), 1441(s), 1383(m), 1303(vs), 1270(w), 1209(vs),  $1182(s)$ ,  $1146(m)$ ,  $1124(vw)$ ,  $1047(m)$ ,  $912(s)$ ,  $810(w)$ ,  $667(m)$ ,  $620(w)$ , 463(w) cm<sup>-1</sup>; NMR  $CF_3^{\text{A}}N(H^B)CH^C(CH_3^D)_2$ ,  $\phi *_{A}$  70.5, d;  $\delta_B$  5.74, br;  $\delta_C$  4.02, sep;  $\delta_{\rm n}$  1.27, d;  $J_{\rm AR} = 9.6$ ,  $J_{\rm CD} = 7.0$ Hz.

CF<sub>3</sub>N(H)OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>: m.p. -19.5 to -18.5°; mol. wt. 156.4, calcd. 157.14; IR  $3315(w)$ , 2993(m), 2950(vw), 2890(vw), 1446(s), 1395(w), 1374(m), 1312(vs),  $1256(w)$ ,  $1203(vs)$ ,  $1173(vs)$ ,  $1035(s)$ ,  $915(s)$ ,  $871(w)$ ,  $840(m)$ ,  $755(vw)$ ,  $667(m)$ 618(w), 462(m) cm<sup>-1</sup>; NMR CF<sub>3</sub><sup>A</sup>N(H<sup>B</sup>)OC(CH<sub>3</sub><sup>C</sup>)<sub>3</sub>,  $\phi *_{A}$  69.7, d;  $\delta_{B}$  5.67, br;  $\delta_{\rm C}$  1.51, s;  $J_{AB} = 9.5$ Hz.  $CF_2N(H)OC(0)CH_2$ : see ref. 8.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The hydrolyses of  $CF_{3}N(X)C(0)F$  are summarized in Table 1. The reactions proceed according to the equation.

 $CF_3N(X)C(0)F + H_2O + NAF \rightarrow CF_3NHX + CO_2 + NAF*HF$ 

 $[(X=F, OCF_{3}, OCF(CF_{3})_{2}, OCH_{3}, OCH_{2}CH_{3}, OCH(CH_{3})_{2}, OCH(CH_{3})_{3}]$  and  $OC(O)CH_{3})$ ] Nothing certain can be stated about the mechanism of this reaction but it probably proceeds via an unstable acid,  $CF_{3}N(X)C(0)OH$ , formed via the attack of  $H_2^0$  on the acyl group.

$$
CF_3N(X)C(O)F + H_2O \rightarrow \{CF_3N(X)CF(OH)_2\}
$$
  
\n
$$
\downarrow_{NAF}
$$
  
\n
$$
CO_2 + CF_3NHX \longleftarrow \{CF_3N(X)C(O)OH\} + NAF \cdot HF
$$

No evidence could be found for the acid intermediate, although some of the reactions are slow. This implies that the rate determining step is the initial reaction of  $H_20$  with the acyl fluoride. The attack of  $H<sub>2</sub>0$  on the acyl fluoride could occur in several different ways and the intermediate shown is speculative. The NaF may accelerate the loss of HF but the hydrolysis of  $CF_3NFC(0)F$  will proceed without it. The other reactions were not examined in the absence of NaF and we viewed the NaF simply as *a* base to absorb HF.

From Table 1, it is obvious that electron donating groups on the nitrogen, decrease the reactivity of the acyl fluoride as would be expected for the above proposal. Comparison of  $X=(CF_3)_2$ CFO with  $(CH_3)_2$ CHO suggests that steric hindrance by the group attached to nitrogen is not important. The correlation between the percent  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and recovered starting material is good but not between the latter and the amine. Either some of the amine is lost by our procedures, or other reactions occur in the system. Because only the volatile products were analyzed, the missing amine is probably in the NaF/HF/H<sub>2</sub>0 residue. The isolated yields of the amines would probably increase if the reactions were run on a larger scale.

The amines are all colorless liquids or solids at  $22^{\circ}$ . No decomposition of the compounds was evident at 22° during handling in glass or stainless steel vacuum systems. The characterization of the compounds by IR, NMR and mol. wt. is straightforward. The broad resonance for hydrogen on nitrogen is due to nitrogen quadrupolar effects and possibly traces of  $H_2O$ . The half-widths of the  $CF_3$  on nitrogen are typically 2-4 Hz. In the case of  $CF_3NFH$ , the half-width of the fluorine on nitrogen is about 30 Hz. The other proton and fluorine resonances in the amines were sharp. The chemical shifts of  $CF_{3}-N$  vary in a regular way, decreasing as the electron withdrawing effect of the substituents decreases. The NH chemical shift follows the same trend, except that  $CF_{3}N(H)OC(0)CH_{3}$  is larger than  $CF_{3}NHF$ . This is probably due to inter- and intramolecular hydrogen bonding between N-H and C=0. The latter is also evident from the IR spectrum which shows two N-H stretches and multiple bands in the C=0 region [8].

The IR spectra for these compounds certain characteristic  $v(N-H)$ ,  $v(N-0)$ ,  $v(N-C)$ ,  $v(CF_3)$ ,  $v(C-0)$  and  $\delta(NH)$  frequencies. Other assignments other than

C-H stretching are less obvious. The tentative assignments for these frequencies in the three smaller members of this series are . . shown in Table 2, along with the assignments for  $HNF$   $_{2}^{\lfloor L1 \rfloor}$  and related values for

# Table 2

Infrared Frequencies of  $CF_3NHX$  and  $HNF_2$ (cm<sup>-1</sup>)



a. Our values and assignments

b. ref. 11

c.  $\nu(NF)$ 

(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NH. In CF<sub>3</sub>NHF, the 1216 cm<sup>-1</sup> band is probably degenerate and amounts for the observation of only **two** of the three expected C-F stretches. The assignment of the 941 band in  $CF_3$ NHF to v(CN) is consistent with its pronounced PQR band shape. The  $v(NO)$ ,  $v(CN)$  value for X=OCF<sub>3</sub> and OCH<sub>3</sub> are very reasonable when compared to a variety of  $(\text{CF}_3)_2$ NOX derivatives [12,13].

In summary the hydrolysis of  $CF_3NXC(0)F$ , which can be obtained in considerable variety from  $CF_3\overline{NCF_2O}$ , provides an excellent route to variety of secondary trifluoromethyl amines. The easy synthesis of  $CF_3NHF$  by this method is especially noteworthy. This novel molecule completes the series  $(CF_3)_2$ NH -  $CF_3$ NHF -  $F_2$ NH and merits further study for comparison to the parent compounds. In particular, the elimination of HF from the compound should be of interest.

The financial support of this work by the Army Research Office-Durham (Grant No. DAAG29-77-G-0071) is gratefully acknowledged.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Alfred P. Sloan Fellow 1975-77.
- 2 D. A. Barr and R. N. Haszeldine, J. Chem. Soc., 2532 (1955).
- 3 .I. A. Young, S. N. Tsoukalas and R. D. Dresdner, J. Am. Chem. Sot., E, 3604 (1958).
- 4 A. Kennedy and C. B. Colubrn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 81, 2906 (1959).
- $5^{\circ}$ J. K. Ruff, Chem. Rev., 67, 665 (1967).
- 6 V. A. Gensburg and K. N. Smirnov, Zh, Obshih, Khim., 39, 1331 (1969); C. A., 71, #101206t (1969).
- A. Sekiya and D. D. DesMarteau, J. Org. Chem., 44, 1131 (1979).  $\mathbf{7}$
- 8 A. Sekiya and D. D. DesMarteau, J. Fluorine Chem., 13, 000 (1979).
- 9 A. Sekiya and D. D. DesMarteau, Inorg. Chem., 18, 1919 (1979).
- 10 E. R. Falardeau and D. D. DesMarteau, J. Am. Chem. Sot., 98, 3529 (1976)
- 11 J. J. Comeford, D. E. Mann, L. J. Schoenard, D. R. Lide, Jr., J. Chem. Phys., 38, 461 (1963).
- 12 P. M. Spaziante in 'MTP International Review of Science, Inorganic Chemistry Series One', Vol. 3 V. Gutmann, Ed., Butterworths, London, 1972, Chapter 5.
- 13 K. 0. Christie, C. J. Schark, R.. D. Wilson and D. Pillipovich, J. Fluorine Chem.,  $4, 423$  (1974).